

The relationship between Proto-Indo-European and Proto-Yeniseian

Luminita Agachi

Introduction

The Yeniseian language family is native to Central Siberia and consists of one extant language – Ket, and five extinct - Yug, Kottish, Arin, Assan, Pumpokol (1). These languages share many contact-induced similarities with the South Siberian Turkic languages, Samoyedic languages and Evenki. These include long-distance nasal harmony, the development of former affricates to stops, and the use of postpositions or grammatical enclitics as clausal subordinators (2).

Yeniseian nominal enclitics closely approximate the case systems of geographically contiguous families.

Despite these similarities, Yeniseian stands out among the languages of Siberia in a few typological respects, such as the presence of tone, the prefixing verb inflection, and highly complex morphophonology (3). This language family has highly elaborate verbal morphology and has been described as having up to four tones or no tones at all (4). To this day no relationship to other language family has been definitively proven, although many attempts were made. One of this attempts, the Dene-Yeniseian family, first proposed by Alfredo Trombetti and supported with evidence by Edward Vajda, has gained massive, but not universal, acclaim (5).

The Genetic Evidence

The Kets belong predominantly to haplogroup Q (93.8%) (6) and Proto-Indo-Europeans are thought to have mostly belonged to haplogroups R1b and R1a (7). The Yamnaya culture of Eastern Europe, which mainstream scholars identify with the Proto-Indo-Europeans, was exclusively R1b. This culture was made up of Eastern Hunter Gatherers and Caucasian Hunter Gatherers (8), the former one being associated with the Mal'ta–Buret' culture of Central Siberia, which was located west of Lake Baikal and roughly in the same area where historically the Yeniseian languages were spoken. The Mal'ta–Buret' culture is dated to 24000 BC to 15000 BC and is known for the only known sample of basal Y-DNA R* (9). The genetic makeup of this culture was found to be very similar to the ones of Yamnaya culture and Ket people (10). Haplogroup Q and R are siblings and come from the same parent haplogroup – P (11). It is possible that the languages spoken by the people bearing these two haplogroups were also genetically related.

The linguistic evidence

No linguist has tried (to my knowledge) until now to connect Proto-Yeniseian and Proto-Indo-European and it's not hard to understand why. Apart from the fact that the homelands of these two language families are so far away from each other geographically and chronologically, they have some important typological differences. Some similarities still exist, for example, both Proto-Indo-European (12) and Proto-Yeniseian (13) had an SOV word order. Ket has an active-stative alignment (14) while the reconstructed ancestor of Proto-Indo-European, the Pre-Proto-Indo-European language, shows many features known to correlate with active alignment like the animate vs. inanimate distinction, related to the distinction between active and inactive or stative verb arguments (15). Another distinctive feature of Yeniseian is morphological predictability,

which enables a linguist to build a form, departing from a root, the known morphological inventory and morphological rules, and get it right without having seen the correct form before. In most of Eurasia the only language family that matches Yeniseian in this respect is Indo-European (3).

I didn't attempt to find sound correspondences because some of the reconstructions on both sides, especially for PY, are uncertain. Sometimes, linguists can't even agree on the phonemes of some modern Ket words. Nevertheless, one can find at first glance some correspondences, for example intervocalic <n> in PIE corresponds to <ń> in PY, final <n> corresponds to <ŋ>, <k> corresponds to <s> and <ǵʰ> corresponds to <k>.

The following list consists of a Proto-Indo-European lemma and a Proto-Yeniseian cognate. Sometimes additional evidence from Indo-European languages is given. For Proto-Yeniseian I used Sergei Starostin's reconstructions, but also modern Ket words and Heinrich Werner's reconstructions if available (1). The abbreviations PIE and PY are used for Proto-Indo-European and Proto-Yeniseian, respectively.

1. PIE *d^hewh₂- [smoke] = PY *du?χ- [smoke], Ket: du? ; Werner *du?
2. PIE *sénos [old] = PY *siń [old, withered], Ket: śiń / síń
3. PIE *(s)d^honu [fir tree] = PY *d̥íne [fir tree], Ket: d̥iń
4. PIE *temH- [dark] = PY *tum- [black], Ket: tūm ; Werner *t^hum
5. PIE *d^heh₁- [to do, put, place] = PY *di(j) [to lie down, put down], Ket: dij
6. PIE *ǵenh₁- [to produce, to beget, to give birth] = PY *že?ŋ [people], Ket: de?ŋ
7. PIE *gen- [to compress] = PY *žāŋ [to knead, rub], Ket: da:ŋ⁴ ; Werner *d'a?əŋə
8. PIE *gel- [to be cold, to freeze] = PY *žVr₁- (~l) [cold, frost], Kottish: čal ; Werner *t'al
9. PIE *ǵ^hes- [hand; to take] = PY *kas- (~g-) [take], Ket: kɔ:sí⁴
10. PIE *ǵónu [knee] = PY *qōń- (~χ-, -᷑-) [cartilage], Ket: qōń⁴ ; Werner *qɔ?ən'ə
11. PIE *(s)ker- [to cut off] = PY *Kar [mountain], Arin: kar
12. PIE *gʷ́ōws [cattle] = PY *ku?š [horse], Ket: ku?ś [cow] ; Werner *ku?š
13. PIE *kh₂em- (Latin camur, Iranian *kamarā-) – [to bend, to curve] = PY *gamur- [crooked], Kottish kamur
14. PIE *temp- [to extend, to stretch] = PY *t[e]mbVí- [root], Kottish: thempul
15. PIE *ki- ~ *ke- ~ *ko [here, this] = PY *si- / *su- [stem of demonstrative pronouns], Ket śi:ŋ / śiŋ [here] ; Werner *si-, *se- / *sə-, *so- / *su-

17. PIE *só, séh₂, tód [this, that] = PY *tu- [demonstrative stem], Ket tuda⁶ [this]
18. PIE *kom or *ku, *kʷom [to, towards], which gave Proto-Slavic *kъ(n) = PY *ka- / *kə- [demonstrative stem], Ket: kańīŋθ¹ / kańiŋθ⁶ [(towards) there]
19. PIE *peh₂w- [few, little] = PY *pVl- (~-r̥-, -r̥i-) [child], Arin: alpolát, Pumpokol: phálla and PY *po?l [short], Ket: hɔ?í ; Werner *pʰo?l
20. PIE *seh₂y- [to be fierce, afflict] = PY *s[e]ji [furuncle; wound], Ket: śibaj⁶, śivanj⁶ ; Werner *sei
21. PIE *derk- [to see] = PY *de-s [eye], Ket: dēs ; Werner *des
22. PIE *kers- [to run] = PY *ses [river], Ket: śēs Werner *set / *tet
23. PIE *b^hel-, *b^helg^h- [to swell] = PY *boks[e]ji (~-ɔ-) [pimple], Ket: bɔksá. Compound with the second component *s[e]ji [wound, sore]
24. PIE *keres- [rough hair, bristle] = PY *sās [fur from reindeer's legs], Ket: śas⁴ Werner *se?əsə
25. PIE *h₂eHs- [to burn, to glow] = PY *xus- [warm], Ket: ūs ; Werner *usə or PY *?es [God, sky], Ket: ēs ; Werner *es
26. PIE *sekʷe-, *skʷē- [to tell, talk] = PY *saga- [to say, speak], Ket: sagabet (Castr.), sáɣa-bet (Werner)
27. PIE *ten- [to stretch, to extend] = PY *ta(?)naj [to pull, stretch], Ket: táŋaj / táŋej
28. PIE *gerh₂- [to cry hoarsely, crane] = PY *guriraK [crane], Kottish: kurīrax
29. PIE *peyH- [fat, milk] = PY *pɔ?ɔ́le [fat], Ket: hōlé ; Werner *pʰolə
30. PIE *h₁ésh₂r [blood] = PY *sur [red, blood], Ket: sūlám¹ ; Werner *suʌ
31. PIE *d^héǵʰom [earth, human] = PY *ke?t [man, person], Ket: kε?t / kε?d
32. PIE *gʷel- [throat] = PY *kərVd (~g-, -3) [throat], Ket: kʌ́lit⁶ / kʌ́lat⁶; Werner *kərVd (~g-, -3)
33. PIE *d^hegʷh- [to burn] = PY *do?q (~ -χ), Ket: -dɔq (-rɔq) to burn (trans.)
34. PIE *h₁es- [to be] = PY *hVs- [to be], Ket: ušeŋ^{5,6} ; Werner *əsə(ŋ) / *usə(ŋ)
35. PIE *pewk- [pine] = PY *pōj [fir tree], Ket: hɔ́j-ɔks ; Werner *pʰo?əjə

36. PIE *d^hǵ^hyes- [yesterday] = PY *qodes (~χ-, -ɔ-) [yesterday], Ket: qɔréš⁵
37. PIE *bak- [peg, club] = PY *bäk- [log], Ket: bāχθ ; Werner *baga
38. PIE *méynos [my, mine] = PY *b- [my], Ket: āp
39. PIE *men- [hand] = PY *bi?ŋ [hand], Yug: bi?ŋ
40. PIE *keku- (Middle Persian čakuč) [cudgel, hammer shaped stick] = PY *čok [axe], Ket: tōk ; Werner *t'okθ
41. PIE *(s)kʷálos [large fish, sheatfish] = PY *χol- [a k. of fish], Ket: kɔ́lgit⁵ (Werner: qṓlgit) ; Werner *qol
42. PIE *men- [to think, mind] = PY *?an[i]ŋ [to think], Ket: aniŋbət⁶ / ańbət^{5,6} ; Werner *anəŋ-
43. PIE *kerh₂- [horn] or PIE *h₁élkis [elk] = PY *sér₁e [deer], Ket: śél⁴ ; Werner *se?əyə
44. PIE *kol-b^ho- [half] = PY *χlab [half], Ket: qɔ́lap⁵ ; Werner *qoləp ; The PIE root is uncertain as it has been reconstructed after the only known descendant: Proto-Germanic *halbaz
45. PIE *g^herd^h- [belt] = PY *gu?da [girdle, strap, string], Ket: ku?t ; Werner *ku?t
46. PIE *g^hreh₁- [to grow] = PY *gVre [grass], Kottish: keri ; Werner *keλθ
47. PIE *ǵ^hey- [winter] = PY *gəte [winter], Ket: kēti¹ ; it is unclear to me why Starostin reconstructed <g>, because all cognates in the Yeniseian languages have <k>. Werner also reconstructs *kəte
48. PIE *wósṛ [spring] = PY *sir₁- [summer], Ket: śíl¹ ; Werner *siλθ
49. PIE *h₂weh₁- [to blow (of wind)] = PY *bej [wind], Ket: bēj ; Werner *baj
50. PIE *gʷol- [ashes] = PY *qorVn- (~χ-, -ɔ-, -l-) [ashes], Ket: qɔ́lən⁶ / qɔllən⁶ ; Werner *qolən
51. PIE *ph₂tēr [father] = PY *?ob [father], Ket: ōp ; Werner *ob(θ)
52. PIE *sed- [to sit], PBS *sēstei [to sit down] = PY *sVs- [to sit], Ket: sēsete "I sit"
53. PIE *meh₂tēr [mother] = PY *?ama [mother], Ket: ām
54. PIE *telk- [to thrust, strike, crush] = PY *tokV (~x-) [mortar], Ket: tō ; Werner *t^hop^hθ

55. PIE *peh₃- [to drink] = PY *?op- (~ x-, -b), Ket: d-a-b-ɔp ; Werner *op
56. PIE *tek- [to run, to flow] = PY *teK- [drop, (rain)dropping], Kottish: ur-thekj
57. PIE *nu [now] = PY *?en [now], Ket: ēn ; Werner *en
58. PIE *swep- [sleep] = PY*sVm- [dream], Kottish: šame
59. PIE *kʷyeh₁- [to rest, peace] = PY *qut (~ χ-) [to be finished, end], Ket: -qut / -but
60. PIE *yeh₂- [to go]= PY *hejVŋ [to go], Ket: ējen¹ / εjen⁵
61. PIE *h₂éngʷʰis [snake] = PY *?ɔŋKoj [snake], Kottish: oŋxoi
62. PIE *ne, *me [no, not] = PY *wθ- [not, there is not], Ket: b̄n̄ ; Werner *bə / *bən
63. PIE *h₂eys- [to wish, to request] = PY *si-aq- [to ask], Ket: śijaq⁵
64. PIE *splg̊h-ēn- [spleen] (the exact root remains difficult to reconstruct) = PY *tVpVl- (~b-) [spleen] Kottish: tebolä

Words with only one reconstructed cognate in PIE or PY:

1. PY *bo?k [fire], Ket: bɔ?k = Latin focus [hearth, fire], Armenian boc' [fire]
2. PY *de?G [lake], Ket: dε? ; Werner *degə / *de?ə = PIE *d^henh₂- [to set in motion, to flow], *dēh₂nu [river goddess]
3. PY *kūn (~g-) [wolverine], Ket: ku:né⁴ ; Werner *ku?ənə = PBS *kauná [marten]
4. PY *son- [blue, green], Ket: són ; Werner *sʌj / *sʌn = PS *siň [blue], PI *axšáyHnah [blue, green]
5. PY *do?n [knife], Ket: dɔ?n = PI *dā- [to cut], Old Iranian *dāna-ka-
6. PY *qaíVŋ (~χ-) [gull], Ket: qaíəŋ⁵ = PC *wailannā [seagull]
7. Ket ūks [bull] = PIE *uks̄ēn [bull]
8. PY *sip- [rat], Ket: síɣ-ut = OES соболь (sobol') [sable], Middle Persian [sable]
9. PY *sib- [to whisper], Ket: siverej-betta (Werner: síveřej) ; Werner *sip^həl = PS *šv̄rъtъ [to whisper]

10. PY *ma?m [breast], Ket: ma?m = Ancient Greek mámmē (breast)
11. PY *χu?s [tent made of birch bark, house], Ket: qu?ś ; Werner *qu?s = PG *hūsā [house], possibly Latin casa
12. Proto-Slavic *tQxlъ [rotten] = PY: *tul-(x)a?q [rotten (wood)], Ket: tulaq⁵

Possible loanwords not mentioned before (to my knowledge):

1. PY *p[u]jm- [neck] - PT *bōjn [neck]
2. PY *kam(a) (~ q-, h-) [vessel, dish] - PT *kāp [vessel]
3. PY *senVŋ [shaman] - Evenki samān [shaman]
4. PT *köp- (to swell; foam) - PY *χɔpVr [foam]
5. PY *sur- [yellow] – PT *siarīg [yellow,white]
6. PT *sōl [left] - PY *tul (~-í, -r₁) [left], Ket: tulí; Werner *tʰuÍ / *suÍ

Conclusion

After analyzing the found information and evidence, it is not likely that all these cognates and similarities are coincidences. Apart from the fact that there are too many cognates, they consist of basic vocabulary and they match exactly (or almost exactly) semantically. Two other possibilities remain: language contact and genetic relationship. For this case language contact seems at best improbable. The last possibility is understandably dubious, but still possible. In order to say something decisively, more research needs to be done on this subject. My hope is that my article will start a wave of questions that will lead to solving this problem and why not, to asking even more questions.

References

1. Starostin, S. A. (1982) Ketskij Sbornik (Nauka, Leningrad), pp. 144–237.
2. Anderson, G. (2003) 'Yeniseic languages in Siberian areal perspective', Sprachtypologie und Universalienforschung 56.1/2: 12–39. Berlin: Akademie Verlag.
3. Georg, S. (2008). "Yeniseic languages and the Siberian linguistic area". Evidence and Counter-Evidence. Festschrift Frederik Kortlandt. Studies in Slavic and General Linguistics. 33. Amsterdam / New York: Rodopi. pp. 151–168.
4. Maddieson, I. "Tone". The World Atlas of Language Structures Online.
5. Comrie, B. (2008) "Why the Dene-Yeniseic Hypothesis is Exciting". Fairbanks and Anchorage, Alaska: Dene-Yeniseic Symposium.
6. Karafet, T. M. (2002). "High Levels of Y-Chromosome Differentiation among Native Siberian Populations and the Genetic Signature of a Boreal Hunter-Gatherer Way of Life". Human Biology. 74 (6): 761–789.

7. Allentoft, Morten E.; et al. (2015). "Population genomics of Bronze Age Eurasia". *Nature*. 522 (7555): 167–172.
8. Jones, Eppie R. (2015). "Upper Palaeolithic genomes reveal deep roots of modern Eurasians". *Nature Communications*.
9. Raghavan, M. et al. 2014. Upper Palaeolithic Siberian genome reveals dual ancestry of Native Americans, *Nature*, 505, 87–91.
10. "Characterizing the genetic history of admixture across inner Eurasia | bioRxiv"
11. Karafet TM, Mendez FL, Sudoyo H, Lansing JS, Hammer MF (March 2015). "Improved phylogenetic resolution and rapid diversification of Y-chromosome haplogroup K-M526 in Southeast Asia". *European Journal of Human Genetics*. 23 (3): 369–73.
12. Hock, H. H. (2015). "Proto-Indo-European verb-finality: Reconstruction, typology, validation".
13. Werner, H. (1997). Die ketische Sprache. (*Tungusco-Sibirica*, 3.) Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
14. Andréasson, D. Active languages, Department of Linguistics, Stockholm University.
15. Lehmann, Winfred P. (2002). *Pre-Indo-European/Journal of Indo-European Studies Monograph* 41.